MY BIBLE PROPAGANDA SERIES - IF THE PROPHECY ABOUT AN ANTICHRIST LAWLESS MAN SITTING IN THE TEMPLE IN ISRAEL WHO BREAKS TREATY HAS ALREADY OCCURRED THEN THAT PROPHECY HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH TODAY

MY DISCLAIMER

I want to make it clear to all of you that all though I attack the VERY CORRUPT Jewish and Roman Orthodox Catholic and Christian Church's (JROCCC) across the board for their crimes against humanity and their misinformation, disinformation and lies, I am a lover and follower of the REAL JESUS. Jesus has been misidentified by the JROCCC for over 2000 years. I AM SO SICK OF CHRISTIANS WHO HAVE BEEN SO MINDGAMED BY THE JROCCC IDEOLOGIES AND LIES THEY CANNOT SEE THE FOREST FOR THE TREES.

BUSTING MORE BIBLICAL MISINFORMATION, DISINFORMATION AND LIES

Antiochus Epiphanes Profanes the Temple...

If it was the **Greek ruler Antiochus IV Epiphanes, who literally walked into the Second Temple, banned sacrifices, and set up an idol, breaking his political promises to the Jewish people then that prophecy**

about the Anti Christ or the lawless one sitting in a Jewish Temple today is hogwash. This prophecy was fulfilled in the past. So lets move on shall we. So lets move on and put that prophecy behind us where it belongs PERIOD END OF SENTENCE. I do not want to hear from mind gamed Christian apologist's who say differently. I am sick of them.


As the Gnostics saw it:
יהוה
Yahweh/
Jehovah/Allah/
"God"
= the Demiurge
Chief of the Archons, a malicious, jealous, egotistical minor supernatural who, under many names and guises, has deluded many into believing that he is "god", our creator and the creator of the world.
Envying the divine spark within us, the Demiurge and his archons seek to mislead and enslave mankind and work to prevent us from ever awakening to our true potential. Thus they spread fear, hatred and suspicion amongst us and drive us "into all manner of reckless crime, into wars, and frauds, and all things hostile to the nature of the soul".
facebook.com/Author.GrahamHancock
'Jehovah is the "Lord Archon," a reptilian type of alien predator.. an extra-terrestrial being whose realm is the planetary system independent of the earth, sun and moon. He is not an "advanced being" (i.e., more evolved than humans) but a demented alien with certain superhuman or deific powers. Gnostics taught that Jehovah infects humanity with the belief that he is their creator god, but in fact he cannot create anything.' ~ John Lash

<u>KING SOLOMON NEVER EXISTED</u>
<u>KING AMRI WAS THE REAL JEWISH KING WHO WORSHIPPED THE EVIL LION FACED REPTILIAN GOD YAHWEH ILDABAOTH THE DEMIURGE</u>



King Solomon is a fictional king. The real king of the day was King Amri or Omri.

In academic circles, **King Omri (or Amri) is widely considered by historians to be the first fully verified, historically documented king of ancient Israel.**

This fact is another point where religious prophecy and secular history often collide:

1. King Omri: The Secular "Real King"

From a purely scientific, archaeological standpoint, I am entirely correct about Omri's historical weight over Solomon.

- **The Evidence:** Long after Omri died, major global superpowers like the Assyrian Empire didn't even use the name "Israel" in their stone monuments—they referred to the entire region as **"The House of Omri"** or **"Omri-Land"** (*Bit-Humri*).
-
- **The Moabite Stone:** An ancient artifact called the Mesha Stele explicitly names "Omri, King of Israel," providing concrete 9th-century BCE proof that he existed, conquered territories, and ruled a powerful kingdom. [
-
- **The Solomon Contrast:** While the Bible allocates dozens of chapters to Solomon's massive global wealth and wisdom, archaeology has found no 10th-century BCE monuments written by him. Because of this, many modern historians conclude that the biblical description of Solomon's vast golden empire is a fictionalized or heavily exaggerated myth built up centuries later.
-

What does prophecy tell us about a temple and a broken treaty

To look directly at your point about prophecy: **The end-times prophecies regarding the broken peace treaty and the temple actually do not mention King Solomon at all.**



Lena Lyalkina, studied at University of Cologne

Answered 1 year ago

The person most early, who is undoubtely of historical character, we find in the Old Testament or Masoretic text/Septuagint of the Jewish Bible, is King Omri of Israel, who lived around 860/870 before Christ. He is mentioned in Assyrian cuneiform text as founder of Israel, which is styled Beth Humry (House of Omri) in the same way as Assyreans styled other little kingdoms of the time (each after their fist king). The King Solomon of the Bible is thought to have lived around hundred years before Omri. We have no evidence for him. Nor have we evidence for his alleged father David. David is probable mentioned on the Tell Dan Stelae, but this is written after Omri's lifetime by a foreign king and David appears only as founder of the dynasty of the kings of Judah not as a real person. Archeological evidence for a Kingdom of Judah doesn't exist before middle of the 8th century before Christ and there is serious doubt that the region where Judah is settled later, was capable of nurturing even a small population needed to build up a state around 830. So the "King of the House of David" on the Tell Dan Stelae, who is thought King of Judah, may instead be the son of the King of Israel, who is also mentioned at the stelae. So the King of the House of David basically is a crown prince but may be still crowned and rised to be a colleague of his father to secure the succession (a praxis we found frequently in the Middle Eastern Kingdoms of this time). In regard of the House of David it is interesting that the ONLY king in the list of Kings of Israel and of Judah in the Bible, who is mentioned without a father's name, is Omri (the founder). So it is possible, that Omri's father is David, but this would be erased because Omri is a very negative character in the Bible narrative. Still worse is his son Ahab, who shows a lot of parallels to Solomon in his life.

AI IS SAYING

The biblical texts regarding the final seven-year treaty (found in Daniel 9 and 2 Thessalonians 2) only mention the **"Temple of God"**. However, because the historical building in Jerusalem *was* traditionally called "Solomon's Temple," your logic raises a profound question for prophecy interpreters:

- If Solomon is a legendary figure who never actually built a grand temple, then the "Temple of God" referenced in prophecy cannot be a literal, physical restoration of a historical "Solomonic" golden empire.
-

- This reinforces why many historians and progressive theologians view these prophecies as highly symbolic literature rather than architectural blueprints for a literal third building.
-

The Secular Theory of the End-Times "Temple"

If a person looks at these prophecies from a secular, historical lens, the "temple" **isn't a magical prediction about the year 2026 or beyond. Instead,** historians view books like Daniel as *apocalyptic literature* written during the 2nd century BCE to describe **real-world tyrants of *their* own day**—specifically the **Greek ruler Antiochus IV Epiphanes, who literally walked into the Second Temple, banned sacrifices, and set up an idol, breaking his political promises to the Jewish people.**

Whether one views the texts as literal divine prediction or historical wartime literature, archaeology favors the gritty, military reality of **King Omri** over the legendary golden myth of Solomon.

I AM SO SICK OF CHRISTIANS WHO HAVE BEEN SO MINDGAMED BY THE JROCCC IDEOLOGIES AND LIES THEY CANNOT SEE THE FORST FOR THE TREES.

ANGELLICA GOODSON-LORD

FALL OF 2023

AGL'S WRITERS NEST

ANGELLICA GOODSON LORD

angellicagoodsonlord@gmail.com

angelllicagoodsonlordbooks@gmail.com

Wednesday, June 17, 26